

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claim 1 has been amended.

The applicant has amended the claim 1 as above to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter in the invention.

The prior art U.S. Pat. 2,733,089 provided by the examiner is different from present invention neither the technical approach nor the target attempted to be achieved, following are the comparisons between two articles:

1). Please refer to the fig-5 of present invention, the drawing shows before the latch handle device being installed onto a screen door frame structure, the twist block 50 and the torsion spring 62 are assembled in the base 20 and kept in the assembling space by a sealing plate 64, the handle 30 being assembled and joined with the base-20. The drawings of U.S. Pat. 2, 733,089 shows the stationary spring anchor 50, the spring 59, the movable spring anchor 54 and the key 61 only combined and assembled by the spindle 5, therefore above parts are not joined with the assembly of the plate 30, the locking bar 34, the coil spring 39 and the inner escutcheon plate 7 (please refer to the Fig-4) before installed on a door. According to the function of the parts in two inventions, the assembly of the twist block 50 and torsion spring 62 in present invention is equal to the assembly of the stationary spring anchor 50, the spring 59, the movable spring anchor 54 in the Pat. 2, 733,089, the base 20 in present invention is equal to the inner escutcheon plate 7 of the Pat. 2, 733,089.

From the structural view of product for installation onto a door on both inventions, present invention provides an integral structure product for use than the discrete structure one in the U.S. Pat. 2, 733,089.

2). The handle 30 and the base 20 in present invention are assembled and joined together before installed onto a door, in comparison to the handle 8 and of the inner escutcheon plate 7 in the U.S. Pat. 2, 733,089, the two parts are not assembled and joined before installed onto a door from the observation on Fig-3 and Fig-4, therefore the structures for installation on door in two inventions are different.


3). The examiner noted that the handle could be inserted into part 7 and rotated any amount (including "360-degree rotation") prior to installation of part 40 in the U.S. Pat. 2,733,089; but the patent didn't show how the handle 8 assembled with the inner escutcheon plate 7, the handle 8 could of course be rotated any amount (including "360-degree rotation")

by just simple insert in the inner escutcheon plate 7 without positioning, but after both parts are assembled together, the patent lack of showing if the handle 8 could still be rotated any amount including 360-degree rotation, therefore it will not be an objective comparison between present invention and the Pat. 2,733,089 which the assembly structure of the above two parts are undefined in the patent.

- 4).It is thus proved that the structure provided in present invention with integral assembled units is different from the Pat. 2, 733,089 with discrete parts for latch device installation. As well the Pat. 2, 733,089 didn't clearly show the same function as in present invention which the handle 30 and the base 20 are capable of 360-degree rotation in relation to each other before the latch handle device being installed on a frame structure of a screen door, therefore the function provided by two inventions are obviously different.

In view of the above amendment and remarks to examiner's action and comment, the applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lin, Ku Long